

Form
Letter
B-1

From: Susan Boyd [mailto:dcfb2004@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, December 20, 2015 12:00 PM
To: Jennifer Montgomery; Kirk Uhler; holmes@placer.ca.gov; Robert Weygandt; Jack Duran; Stacy Wydra
Subject: Brockway Campground Proposal

To: All Placer County Officials
 From: Steve and Susan Boyd
 RE: Brockway Campground Proposal – Public Comment

Date: December 20, 2015

We are Carnelian Woods Townhouse homeowners and appreciate the opportunity to comment on the Martis Valley West Parcel Specific Project proposal. We are strongly opposed to it for the following reasons:

- The proposal is totally inconsistent with the 2012 Lake Tahoe Regional Plan which supports concentrating future development in town centers.
- Undeveloped, pristine forested areas, particularly on ridges around Lake Tahoe, must remain so in order to protect the Lake from pollution, erosion, and other undesirable environmental consequences.
- Of particular concern to all of us in the Lake Tahoe Basin are fire hazards. Installing campgrounds and associated buildings in this area would threaten fire safety for miles around.

Please consider the implications of this ill-conceived proposal very carefully. The future of the Lake Tahoe Basin is too important.

Thank you for your consideration.

Steve and Susan Boyd
 142 Cedar Court
 Carnelian Woods, CA

Form
B-1-1

Form
B-1-2

Form
B-1-3

B-1	Form Letter B Steve and Susan Boyd December 20, 2015
B1-1	<p>The comment states that the MVWPSP is inconsistent with the 2012 Lake Tahoe Regional Plan, which supports concentrating development in town centers. A Town Center is a land use designation included in the TRPA Regional Plan applicable to areas located in the Tahoe Basin within the jurisdiction of the TRPA. In the Regional Plan Update, Town Centers were identified in Incline Village, North Stateline, Kings Beach, Tahoe City, Kingsbury, Stateline/Ski Run, South Stateline, City of South Lake Tahoe, South Y, and Meyers. As discussed in the EIR, the MVWPSP project does not propose development or land use changes on lands within the Tahoe Basin, and no action by TRPA is required to implement the Specific Plan. While analysis and full disclosure of environmental impacts, including those to the broader region, including the Tahoe Basin, are required and are included in the Draft EIR, such analyses are not required to use the TRPA-specific land use designations, environmental threshold carrying capacities, Code of Ordinances, or Goals and Policies in the characterization of those impacts.</p>
B-1-2	<p>The comment states that the forested areas, particularly on ridges around Lake Tahoe, should remain undeveloped. This comment is acknowledged. The comment does not specifically address the content, analysis, or conclusions in the Draft EIR. Please also see response to comment B1-1 above, regarding evaluation of environmental impacts in the Lake Tahoe Basin, and responses to comments IO18-42 and IO18-44 regarding ridgelines and the project's consistency with existing policies.</p>
B-1-3	<p>The comment expresses concerns regarding fire hazards and states that campgrounds and development of buildings would threaten fire safety. Impacts associated with wildfires and emergency response are described in Chapter 18, "Hazards and Hazardous Materials," and Chapter 17, "Public Services and Recreation." Fire protection services are described and the project's impact on fire protection services and wildfire hazards is evaluated. Existing community wildfire protection plans and fire safe regulations are also described. See Master Response 9 related to wildland fire, emergency evacuation, and the Emergency Preparedness and Evacuation Plan prepared as part of the MVWPSP.</p> <p>Please note that although the comment refers to proposed campgrounds, the proposed MVWPSP does not include campgrounds. The Brockway Campground project is a separate proposal for which environmental review has not yet commenced; see Master Response 2 of this Final EIR.</p> <p>The comment expresses opposition to the MVWPSP. The Placer County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors will take the commenter's opinions regarding the merits or qualities of the proposed MVWPSP into consideration when making decisions regarding the project.</p>
B-2 to B-7	Form Letters B-2 through B-7 Various (see Table 1-1 for list) Various (see Table 1-1 for list)
B-2-1	<p>The following pages include multiple submissions of Form Letter B received from commenters during the Draft EIR public review period. Please see responses to comments B-1-1 to B-1-3 for responses to these letters.</p>

Form
Letter
B-2

From: Martin Curry [mailto:martycurry1@mac.com]
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2015 8:48 AM
To: Jennifer Montgomery; Kirk Uhler; holmes@placer.ca.gov; Robert Weygandt; Jack Duran; Stacy Wydra
Subject: Brockway Campground proposal

We are Carnelian Woods Townhouse homeowners and appreciate the opportunity to comment on the Martis Valley West Parcel Specific Project proposal. We have reviewed the draft specific plan and DEIR and are strongly opposed to it for the following reasons:

- The proposal is totally inconsistent with the 2012 Lake Tahoe Regional Plan which supports concentrating future development in town centers.
- Undeveloped, pristine forested areas, particularly on ridges around Lake Tahoe, must remain so in order to protect the Lake from pollution, erosion, and other undesirable environmental consequences.
- Of particular concern to all of us in the Lake Tahoe Basin are fire hazards. Installing campgrounds and associated development in this area would threaten fire safety for miles around.

Please consider the implications of this ill conceived proposal very carefully. The future of the Lake Tahoe Basin is too important. Thank you for your consideration.

Martin and Linda Curry

Form
B-2-1

Form
Letter
B-3

From: Suresh Donthineni [mailto:sdonthineni@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2015 10:03 AM
To: Jennifer Montgomery; Kirk Uhler; holmes@placer.ca.gov; Robert Weygandt; Jack Duran; Stacy Wydra
Subject: Brockway Campground proposal

We are Carnelian Woods Townhouse homeowners and appreciate the opportunity to comment on the Martis Valley West Parcel Specific Project proposal. We have reviewed the draft specific plan and DEIR and are strongly opposed to it for the following reasons:

- * The proposal is totally inconsistent with the 2012 Lake Tahoe Regional Plan which supports concentrating future development in town centers.
- * Undeveloped, pristine forested areas, particularly on ridges around Lake Tahoe, must remain so in order to protect the Lake from pollution, erosion, and other undesirable environmental consequences.
- * Of particular concern to all of us in the Lake Tahoe Basin are fire hazards. Installing campgrounds and associated development in this area would threaten fire safety for miles around.

Please consider the implications of this ill conceived proposal very carefully. The future of the Lake Tahoe Basin is too important. Thank you for your consideration.

Suresh Donthineni MA, CPA
#61 Carnelian Woods

Form
B-3-1

**Form
Letter
B-4**

From: Roy Rasmussen [mailto:roy@lvtc.com]

Sent: Friday, December 11, 2015 10:36 AM

To: mambler@trpa.org Cc: Jennifer Montgomery; Kirk Uhler; Robert Weygandt; holmes@placer.ca.gov; Jack Duran; Stacy Wydra

Subject: Martis Valley West Parcel Specific Project

To: Marja Ambler

NB - Please distribute to all TRPA GB members.

I am a Carnelian Woods Townhouse Homeowner and appreciate the opportunity to comment on the Martis Valley West Parcel Specific Project proposal. I have reviewed the draft specific plan and DEIR and am strongly opposed to it for the following reasons:

- The proposal is totally inconsistent with the 2012 Lake Tahoe Regional Plan which supports concentrating future development in town centers.
- Undeveloped, pristine forested areas, particularly on ridges around Lake Tahoe, must remain so in order to protect the Lake from pollution, erosion, and other undesirable environmental consequences.
- Of particular concern to all of us in the Lake Tahoe Basin are fire hazards. Installing campgrounds and associated development in this area would threaten fire safety for miles around.

Form
B-4-1

Please consider the implications of this ill-conceived proposal very carefully. The future of the Lake Tahoe Basin is too important. Thank you for your consideration.

Roy H Rasmussen
General Partner
Livermore Valley Tennis Club
(925) 443-7700
roy@lvtc.com
also
5101 North Lake Blvd #62
Carnelian Bay, CA

**Form
Letter
B-5**

From: Joanne McGrail [mailto:jomcgr@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2015 4:03 PM
To: Stacy Wydra
Subject: Martis Valley West proposal
To: Stacy Wydra
Re: Martis Valley West project

As a homeowner on the North Shore of Lake Tahoe for the last 43 years I wish to take the the opportunity to comment on the Martis Valley West Parcel Specific Project proposal. After reviewing the draft specific plan and DEIR I wish to state that I am very much opposed to this project. This proposal is totally inconsistent with the 2012 Lake Tahoe Regional Plan which supports concentrating future development in town centers.

Undeveloped, pristine forested areas, particularly on ridges around Lake Tahoe, must remain so in order to protect the Lake from pollution, erosion, and other undesirable environmental consequences. I am particularly concerned about the number of cars which will be added to our already overloaded roads. With the concerns we all have about wildfires, evacuation is already at a critical point. Adding more cars to Hi 267 which reaches its maximum many times during the year is just asking for disaster.

Please consider the implications of this ill-conceived proposal very carefully. The future of the Lake Tahoe Basin is too important. Thank you for your consideration.

Joanne McGrail
POB 576
Carnelian Bay, CA 96240



Form
B-5-1

Form
Letter
B-6

From: David Rabin [mailto:drabin67@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2015 9:54 AM

To: Jennifer Montgomery; Kirk Uhler; Jim Holmes; Robert Weygandt; Jack Duran; Stacy Wydra

Subject: Brockway Campground

I am a homeowner in Carnelian Bay and would like to comment on the Brockway Campground proposal as I am strongly opposed to it for the following reasons:

- * Traffic, congestion, safety and air pollution on Highway 267. Adding those additional vehicles traveling to and from a campsite will increase the traffic, be a significant safety hazard unless a Stop Light was installed and would greatly impact the air quality negatively. Regardless of other factors, just the potential of increased air pollution makes the proposal unfathomable as to why it would even be considered.
- * The proposal is totally inconsistent with the 2012 Lake Tahoe Regional Plan which supports concentrating future development in town centers.
- * Undeveloped, pristine forested areas, particularly on ridges around Lake Tahoe, must remain so in order to protect the Lake from pollution, erosion, and other undesirable environmental consequences.
- * Of particular concern to me are also the potential fire hazards in the Lake Tahoe Basin. Installing campgrounds and associated development in this area would threaten fire safety for miles around.

Please consider the implications of this ill conceived proposal very carefully. The future of the Lake Tahoe Basin is too important. Thank you for your consideration.

..David.Rabin
drabin67@gmail.com
510.206.7686



Form
B-6-1

**Form
Letter
B-7**

From: segurog@aol.com [mailto:segurog@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, December 12, 2015 3:04 PM

To: mambler@trpa.org; Jennifer Montgomery; Kirk Uhler; holmes@placer.ca.gov; Robert Weygandt;

Jack Duran; Stacy Wydra

Subject: Martis Valley West Parcel Specific Project Proposal

Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA) – P.O. Box 5310 Stateline, NV 89449

Marja Ambler – mambler@trpa.org – Please distribute to all TRPA GB members.

Placer County –Officials

Jennifer Montgomery, jenmonten@placer.ca.gov

Kirk Uhler, kuhler@placer.ca.gov Jim Holmes, holmes@placer.ca.gov Robert Weygandt, weygandt@placer.ca.gov

Jack Duran, jduran@placer.ca.gov

Stacy Wydra, swydra@placer.ca.gov

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the Martis Valley West Parcel Specific Project proposal.

We have reviewed the draft specific plan and DEIR and as Carnelian Woods Townhouse homeowners are strongly opposed to it for the following reasons:

- 1) The proposal is totally inconsistent with the 2012 Lake Tahoe Regional Plan which supports concentrating future development in town centers.
- 2) Undeveloped, pristine forested areas, particularly on ridges around Lake Tahoe, must remain so in order to protect the Lake from pollution, erosion, and other undesirable environmental consequences.
- 3) Of particular concern to all of us in the Lake Tahoe Basin are fire hazards. Installing campgrounds and associated development in this area would threaten fire safety for miles around.

Please consider the implications of this ill-conceived proposal very carefully. The future of the Lake Tahoe Basin is too important.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

Chris Segurado, MA & Oscar Segurado, MD
5101 N Lake Blvd #25
Carnelian Bay, CA 96140

Form
B-7-1